G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1929.
(June)

File No. 718
Serial Nos
1—10

Later references.

June 1925 - 25/1/172

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for Nov 21.

SUBJECT.

Appeal petn re grant of honours to Marusidde. Gowda Supt of Patadaswami Matt at Yelandur in Kasaba Kanakanalli Taluk

---

D.C. Tumkur
No 2675 Dy. 24. 11. 21

Passing orders on the —

R.C. forms

1088—GPB—16,626—23-7-21

CCMs —

G. R. S. III—1] 1919.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Inam)

NOTES.

File No. 1 - 19

FILE HEADING.

Grant of Inam to Manidode Gowda, Manager and Agent of Pattada Swami matt, Yelandur Chikkanaikanahalli Jahira.

From Manidode Gowda, Thro. his Advr Mr. S. Ramanna

No. Petition Dated 21. 5. 20 Received 21. 5. 20

Diary No. 2360	Enclos. 2 Spare Copies. —	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Appeals against the Inamkn Dy. Comr's order directing that as the apltt seems to be a private individual and does not claim to be a Mathadhipati, and as he has not produced clear proof in evidence of the enjoyment of the Inam's claimed for 3 generations

NOTES AND ORDERS.

No Inams can be allowed to be

NOTES—First Sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

2361

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Simy File No. 718 of 1912

Serial No.

[illegible]

Is there any matter by name Patta da swami ~~Tenn~~ matter in Gelnadu empowering Cash or ~~Do~~ land in any Alienation to Mrs Z. Hopkins - Please note

Urgent

Supdt. Stores Compilation Section

Rd 22.6.20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Mention is not made about this matter in the cash grant or quit rent registers.

25/6/20.

Rd 25.6.20

The matter is not a Government land matter

25/6/20

G. R. S. III-2]

2261

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 718 of 1920

Serial No.

The petition received on 21 June. What is the reason for the delay in the submission of this simple matter till 19 June? Is the appeal in time? What are the grounds that appellant wants and on what grounds?

WJ 25/6/20

25/6/20

AMT 26/6

[illegible]

AMT 7.7.20

[illegible]

AMT 10/7/20

[illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet

F. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 718 of 19 18

Serial No.	
2.	2, D.C. Mysore — No. 261 D. 20.7.20. Forwards the appeal petn of Cally for information and when the order was communicated to the appellant — Dasu, the Dy Comr, Anantapur Dt, Letter no. 15 D. 12.8.920 Reports that the order was communicated to Mahalingaiya on a date between 20th Jany. 1920 and 8th Feby. 1920 — that the exact date cannot be made out from the records. 2. Even if the order is presumed to have been delivered to the appellant on 8th Feby 1920, the appeal is barred by time — submitted A/ 23.8.20 23/8/20 Secretary Member 24/8/20 A. As this stricky enforces no matter of appeal or not. 26.8.20

1275-274
25-8-20.

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 718 of 19 19

Serial No.

(4) This is enforced as a general rule, but in patent cases, the limitation question is set aside the case proceeded with.

28/8/20

It rests with the Ct. to overlook "limitation" in Special Cases of importance or patent grievance actually calling for remedy.

Advt.
28/8/20

(I agree)

Rules XXVI (2) XXVII (3) XXVIII and (4) XXIX Extracts from Sect. from are submitted as directed by the Hon'ble

27.8.20. 31/8/20

Under Sec 212 of the L.R. Code, an appeal may be admitted after the period of limitation prescribed therefor, when the appellant satisfies the Authority to which he appeals that he had sufficient cause for not presenting the appeal within such period. It is the duty of the appellant to prove that the appeal may be admitted even though it was presented after the expiry of the limit

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Mur File No. 718 of 1919

Serial No.

allowed first and to assign reasons why the delay should be excused. But the present Appellant has not done either. He has not prayed for the excuse of delay and he has not assigned reasons for his prayer.

"When the time for appealing is once passed a very valuable right is secured to the successful litigant; and the Court must therefore be fully satisfied of the justice of the grounds on which it is sought to obtain an extension of time for attacking the decree and thus perhaps depriving the successful litigant of the advantages which he has obtained." I.L.R. 30 Bombay 329 at page 330

As no grounds for excusing delay have been urged there is no scope for going into the justice of the grounds.

Second Member) 1/9/20

1446-31
1-9-20

I accept the above view. The appeal is rejected.

Rec
3-9-20

3/9/20

3-9-20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Inwg File No. 718 of 19 19

Serial No.	
	[illegible]
	[illegible]
	Revised Off. cadre in Estts. as Directed [illegible]
4	To, Marriddegowda, Agent, Gulanash Matt. No. 1363 dy. 14.10.1920. Informs him that [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 714 of 19

Serial No.

Index the receipt in a book.

The petition is duly stamped and the review application has been filed in time

Submitted for orders.

17-11-20 SB 16/11/20 AMH 17-11-20

Seen)

Appeal was heard by Muntaken and was rejected. Petr applies for a review and prays he may be heard

Seland Tirumalai

2823-62
18.11.20

The case may be posted for hearing

[illegible]
20.11.20

To Dc. dtd 18.11.20 calling for 1024 - To Advocate Sri Bala Tangamma

Mun, D.C. Tirunelveli, No. 6) 2 Dy 30-11-20.

Submitted for perusal and orders in this case

Submitted. Sri. Rajamanickam

SB
7.6.21

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Appellant
Mari Likke Larka.

Inez appeal 3 of 1920-21

Mr S. Ranganna
Advocate for appellant
saw me in office at
1 P.M. today and said
that he was quite
unwell and consequently
unable to argue the
appeal today
He prays
for an adjournment
(Trial Member) 18/7/21

Grant.

NB—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

18/7/21

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N B —Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

Serial No.

The case stands adjourned to the 4th inst.

Mr B Basavaradhya, Advocate for the aplt, has since produced some documents as per list filed by him; and states that these documents show that his client, principal is a mathadhipati enjoying a number of insignias

As regards the use of insignia by Yelanadu matt, the Advocate has filed a copy of the Sanad dt 4-4-24 granted by the late Sri Krishnaraja Wadiyar Bahadur to Basava Prabhu Swami of Gadekere Rambhapuri matt.

In G.O. no. 338-Jud 607-10 dt 23.8.12 some insignia have been sanctioned to Rambhapuri matt, and it is not known, how this matt is connected with the Yelanadu matt in question.

No. 20 in the list makes mention of the Nirup of 1864 granted by Immadi Krishnaraj Wadiyar to Basavara Kappanna. This document has not been

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 718 of 19 24

Serial No.

Perhaps the Advocate will produce it at the time of hearing.

The title-deed, the Dist. magistrate's order permitting the use of bells and badges, have and some other documents have been produced and some of these are unauthenticated documents & the others authenticated ones.

Submit.

6-11-24

A perusal of the documents produced particularly those marked Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, & 9, show that the matt in question is in existence and that it has an Arya at its head & that the name of its present Arya is Pattada Basavalinga Devendra.

Some further enquiry in the light of these documents seems necessary in the matter as they were not before the D.C. when he passed the order appealed against.

The Case is posted for hearing on the 7th inst.

Sd

5-11-24

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. of 19

Serial No

Order sheet

These documents should be produced before the D. Commr (Genl Section) 12/11/21

Case came on for hearing this day. Appellant present & also represented by Counsel, Mr. Adv. B. Basavarajeya. Counsel was informed that case was be referred back to the D.C. for a regular enquiry & disposal on its merits. The appellant being afforded the chance of producing documents relied upon by him & arguing his own case. Case will be dealt with further hereafter.

Recd 7-11-21 8/11/21

DR-S.O. Issued

8-11-21 9/11/21

Submitted with a fair copy

12-11-1921 12/11/21

NOTE—Contn. sheet

[G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 714 of 19 24

Serial No.	
2907-72 18.11.21.	Second Memorial U.J 18/11/21 Dominion proposed Rec 24-4-22 Issue U.J 21/11/21
8	10, Dy. Commr. Tanjore No. 2675 dy. 24.11.21 Refers the case back to the D.C. for regular enquiry being held on fresh documentary evidence
9	From the Dy Comr. Tanjore D. no. 472 dy 5.12.21 Requests that the appeal Pet. of Marimiddagamodan of Velanadu may be rejected. Dept. not the papers concerned. Submt. RG 7/12/21 Issue the 7.12.21
10	To D.C. Tanjore as in D? No. 2861 dy. 7.12.21 Returns the appeal case

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.. 23 B/4

Section

File No. 21 & 70

Serial No. 2 - 7

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

the *191* .

No. 2b/Encumbrance

Date of issue.....

To The Dy Com -,

Mangalore D

Signed by.....

The Assistant to

appeal

Received by

over.

[illegible] appeal against [illegible] Annually Committee for the applicant

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

† *List of enclosures*

Examined by

WD 1744—GPB—30 000—19 3 19

SECTION Rev
FILE No. 718-29
3

WD 02785—GIB— 79,000—25 2 17—S.

19120-21.

From

The Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District, Tumkur.

To

The Joint Secretary to the Govt. of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

Dated 12th August 1920.

Despatched

Received

General No. He 75/20-21

No.

Enclosure No. One file

Furnishing certain information re the appeal petition of Mari Siddegowda, Manager and Agent of the Melandur Matt, Chik-nalkannalli Taluk.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District,
Dated, Tumkur, the 12th August 1920.

From

Ananda Rao Birsi, Esq., B.A., LL.B.,
Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.

To

T.E. Jayarama Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Joint Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. 261/Muz.718-19-2 dated the 20th July 1920 forwarding the appeal petition presented by Mari Sidde Gowda, Manager and Agent of the Yelandur Matt, Chiknaikanhalli Taluk and requesting to be informed as to when this office order dated the 28th November 1919 was actually communicated to the appellant, I have the honour to state that it is seen from the connected records that the order in question was communicated to Mari Siddegowda on a date between the 20th January 1920 and the 8th February 1920, i.e., the date of the receipt of the order by the Shekdar and the date of the report of his having delivered the copy of the endorsement to the party respectively. The acknowledgment bears no date and the exact date of communication cannot therefore be made out from the records.

2. The file of vernacular records on the subject together with the appeal petition and its enclosures is also sent herewith for reference and return when no longer required.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
Ananda Rao

Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

G.R.S. 26]

File No 115
1919
Serial No. 4

Diary No. of letter replied to 371

No 1263

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, *the* 19

To

With reference to his petition regd. no. 2364 dt 21st May 1920 appealing against the Director Dy Commn. Sabaragamuwa declining the grant of honorary to him, Mahi Siddi Sowda, Manager and Trustee of Pelanadura Natha Devalaya Kahakumbura Kahawatta, is informed that ~~on a perusal of the record connected with the case relating to the Dy. Commrs office no. 15 dt 10-8-1920. Govt has no reason to interfere with the order appealed against~~ ~~the appeal is accordingly rejected~~

The said appeal has been rejected

Vj 24/9/20

Date of issue 14/10/20

Signed by [illegible]

over.

SUBJECT.

Copy to the Dy Comm 1364 Encl as refd
in the remd received with Mem 718-19-4
this Office No 75 Dy 12-8-20.
Dy Ch. 18-20
B—D.

W/ Insp-Secy
Dy 20/9/20

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—6,000—1.5 09

G. R. S. VI—G/32].

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to——————

Section

File No

Serial No. 5

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

No.

Date of issue——————

To

The Dy. Owner,

Mundkur Estate,

Signed by——————

Sir,

I am directed to state that ~~a~~ application has been forwarded on behalf of Mari Sete Gowda, Agent of Yelandur mattu in the Chickmanlur taluk, in the matter of certain encroachments ~~by them~~ by the mattu over that the Govt

praying for a return of the Orders conveyed in Govt to the ~~Mattu~~ Agent No 1363 dt. 14 Oct 1920

stands posted for hearing
before Just on the 4th proximo.
I am ~~accordingly~~ thereupon to request
that you will be so good
as to re transmit the
writers file of your recent
in the subject, which were
forwarded under cover of
this office memo no. 1364-mus. 718 28-4
A.h.o-(3)-(5), so as to
reach this office ~~at~~ at least.
~~Except~~ I have the honor to be
Sir ~~your~~ obedient servant
Your

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to——

Section

File No.

Serial No

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

No.

To

Date of issue——

Signed by——

with reference to the known application received on 10th instant on behalf of Mandur Gramasabha Grant of Pattada Gramakantam as yelandur Taluk varimandali Taluka, praying certain honours, Mr. S. Rangarao, Advocate, to be present at the application is posted for hearing on 4th Dec. 1917, at

at 3.30 Pm

over.

when he is requested to produce his Vakalatnama

to appear that day
at 5 P.M.

By order

Dec. 11.20

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

1920 - 1921.

From

The Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District, Tumkur.

To

The Secretary to the Government

H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,

23750 Muzrai Deptt., Bangalore.

Dated Tumkur, the 30th November 1920.

Despatched

Received

General No. A-o (Rev) 472 / 1920-21

No.

Enclosure No. One

Forwarding entire file of vernacular records relating to certain insignia claimed by the Yelanadu-Matt in the Chicknaiakanahalli Taluk, as desired.

*****

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Tumkur District,

Dated Tumkur, the 30th November 1920.

From

Ananda Rao Sirsi, Esquire, B.A., LL.B.,

Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.

To

T.E. Jayarama Iyer, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of His Highness the

Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

As called for in your letter No.1823/Muz.71d-19-6 dated the 24th instant, I have the honor to forward herewith the entire file of vernacular records relating to certain insignia claimed by the Yelandur Matt in the Chickanahalli Taluk, received from the Sub Division Officer, Gubbi, with yadi No.C.R.711/20-21 dated the 26th November 1920.

I have the horor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Assistant Commissioner,
For Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District,
Absent from Head Quarters.

Proceedings of the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read:--

Petition Registered No.1056 dated -- 12th November 1920, from Marisidde Gowda, - Manager and Agent of the Pattadaswami Matt, Yelanadu, Chikkanaikanahalli Taluk, appealing against the Deputy Commissioner's order declining to permit the use of certain insignia by this Matt.

(2) Correspondence ending with letter No.H.C.472 dated 30th November 1920, from - the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, - submitting the entire file of vernacular records connected with the case.

Order No. 2653-Hom.718-19-8-

Dated Bangalore, the 23rd November 1921.

The case was heard before Government on 7th November 1921, appellant being present and also represented by Counsel. Certain documents have been produced before -- Government by the appellant in support of - his contention, but these have not been considered by the Deputy Commissioner, who had no opportunity of looking into them, as they were not before him when he passed the --- order appealed against. These documents will be forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner who will hold a regular enquiry and dispose of the matter on its merits.

Secretary to Government, Inam Department.

To

The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.

Write Two new receipts petition and documents.

1921 1922
2225

From 21-22 Mysr
The Deputy Commissioner,
Mysr 718-19
Tumkur District.
9

To
The Muzrai Secretary to Government,
Bangalore.

Dated the 5th December 1921.
Despatched 5 12. 1921.
Received
General No. Mg. Rev 472/20-2
No.

Enclosure No.

Regarding the petition of Marisiddego
of Yelanadu, Chiknaikanhalli Taluk.
****

Rev Mc 472/20 2

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District
Dated Tumkur the 5th December 1921.

Ananda Rao S, Sirsi Esquire.. B.A.LL.B.,
Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District,
Tumkur.

To.

T.E.Javarama Aiyer Esquire., B.A.B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai Department,
Bangalore.

S i r,

In acknowledging the receipt of vernacular records and certain documents forwarded with the memo No: 2675/Muz: F18-19-8 dated the 24th November 1921 relating to the appeal petition of Marisiddegowda, Manager and Agent of the Pattadaswami Matt, Yelanadu, Chiknaikanhalli Taluk in the matter of title to use certain insignia by the Matt, I have the honor to state that the petition said to have been forwarded with the above reference has not been received with the same.

The petition may therefore be kindly transmitted for further action being taken in the case.

I have the honor to be,
sir,
your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 191 .

Date of issue 7.12.21

Signed by

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No.

To

The D.S. Mannar

Sir,

As requested in your letter No. NC/Adm 4/2 of 20.11 & 5.12.21, I am directed to forward herewith the appeal petn. of Mariseddé Sowda of Talaimadu together with other connected papers.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Government Agent,

Northern Province.

Lands

dated the 21st may 1920 and 12th nov. 1920 received from

over.

7.12.21

Received

Mannar

7/12/21